

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: 35504
Confirmation No.: 7945

In the Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method of centerline determination for a tubular tissue in a digital medical image data set defined in a digital data space, comprising:
receiving coordinates of at least one start point and one end point inside a tubular tissue volume of the digital data space;
automatically determining a path between said points that remains inside said volume, comprising using targeted marching which uses a cost function incorporating both path cost and estimated future path cost;
automatically segmenting said tubular tissue using said path; and
automatically determining a centerline for said tubular tissue from said segmentation,
wherein said receiving, said determining a path, said segmenting, and said determining a centerline are all performed on a same digital data space of said digital medical image data set.
2. (Original) A method according to claim 1, wherein said tubular tissue comprises a body lumen.
3. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein receiving comprises receiving at most 4 points from a human user.
4. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein receiving comprises receiving at most 2 points from a human user.
5. (Cancelled)

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: 35504
Confirmation No.: 7945

6. (Currently amended) A method accord to claim 51, wherein determining a path comprises propagating a sub-path from each of at least two of said received points until the sub-paths meet.

7. (Currently amended) A method accord to claim 51, wherein determining a path comprises propagating a sub-path from one of said received points until it meets another of the received points.

8. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 51, wherein propagating a sub-path comprises selecting a point and selecting a neighbor of the selected point for further consideration responsive to said cost function.

9. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 51, wherein a path cost of a point is a function of a local cost of a point and a path cost of at least one neighbor of the point.

10. (Original) A method according to claim 9, wherein a local cost of a point is a function of a probability of the point being inside or outside of the tubular tissue.

11. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 9, wherein a path cost is determined by attempting to find at least an approximate solution to an equation including at least one extreme-type function that returns an extreme value of its operands.

12. (Original) A method according to claim 11, wherein if a solution is not found, at least one of said extreme-type functions is replaced by a constant value.

13. (Original) A method according to claim 12, said extreme-type function to replace is found by a min-max method.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: 35504
Confirmation No.: 7945

14. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 11, wherein said equation includes an approximation of a gradient of the path cost.

15. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 51, wherein a path cost of a point is a function of a probability of the point being inside or outside of the tubular tissue.

16. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 10, wherein said probability is determined using a histogram of data point values.

17. (Original) A method according to claim 16, comprising updating the histogram when a point is determined to be inside or outside of the tubular tissue.

18. (Original) A method according to claim 16, comprising updating the histogram when a point is selected.

19. (Original) A method according to claim 18, wherein said histogram is updated with a weight corresponding to a probability of the point being inside the tubular tissue.

20. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 16, comprising generating a local histogram for a part of said vesseltubular tissue.

21. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 16, wherein the histogram comprises an outside histogram for point values that are outside the tubular tissue.

22. (Original) A method according to claim 21, wherein the outside histogram includes also points inside the tubular tissue.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

23. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 16, wherein the histogram comprises an inside histogram for point values that are inside the tubular tissue.

24. (Currently amended) A method according to claim 51, comprising selecting a target to be used in an estimating of said future cost.

25. (Original) A method according to claim 24, wherein said estimating is an underestimating.

26. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 24, wherein said estimating is based on an average cost per distance unit.

27. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 24, wherein said estimating is based on an Euclidian distance to said target.

28. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 24, wherein selecting a target comprises selecting from two or more possible targets.

29. (Original) A method according to claim 28, wherein selecting a target comprises projecting two vectors, one for each of two potential targets on a vector connecting a current point with a starting point of the current point and selecting a longer projection.

30. (Original) A method according to claim 24, wherein selecting a target comprises selecting one of said received points.

31-33. (Cancelled)

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

34. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, comprising correcting said determined path.

35. (Original) A method according to claim 34, wherein correcting said path comprising interconnecting path segments.

36. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said segmenting uses a marching method for segmentation.

37. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said segmenting uses a contour expansion method.

38. (Original) A method according to claim 36, wherein said marching method assigns a value for each point in said tubular tissue.

39. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 36, wherein said marching method is a fast marching method.

40. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said segmenting comprises generating a parameterization for points along said path.

41. (Original) A method according to claim 40, comprising propagating said parameterization.

42. (Original) A method according to claim 41, wherein said propagated parameterization is used to prevent leakage of said segmentation.

43. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 41, wherein said parameterization is propagated substantially parallel to said path.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

44. (Original) A method according to claim 43, comprising propagating said parameterization to being substantially perpendicular to a path cost gradient associated with said propagation.

45. (Original) A method according to claim 42, comprising collecting propagation statistics for different parameterization values.

46. (Original) A method according to claim 42, comprising determining a direction of propagation from a propagation of parameterization values.

47. (Original) A method according to claim 41, comprising controlling a direction of propagation based on said parameterization.

48. (Original) A method according to claim 45, comprising limiting propagation of at least one parameterization value based on said statistics.

49. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 48, wherein limiting comprises limiting propagation to be substantially locally uniform for nearby parameterizations.

50. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said segmenting comprises partitioning said path into portions.

51. (Original) A method according to claim 50, comprising defining boundary planes between said portions.

52. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 50, wherein said portions overlap by a substantially small amount.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

53. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 50, wherein said portions are substantially straight lines.

54. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 50, wherein said partitioning is used to reduce leakage of said segmentation.

55. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said segmenting comprises propagating from said path.

56. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 55, wherein said propagating is limited to be substantially perpendicular to said path.

57. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 55, wherein said propagating is limited to be substantially locally uniform in a radial direction.

58. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 55, wherein said propagating depends on a local curvature.

59. (Original) A method according to claim 58, wherein said local curvature is estimated by counting visited neighbors.

60. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said segmenting comprises segmenting using a histogram of data values to determine a probability of a point being inside the tubular tissue.

61. (Original) A method according to claim 60, wherein different parts along said path have different histograms.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

62. (Original) A method according to claim 61, wherein said histograms are created to vary smoothly between said parts.

63. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 61, wherein a noise level in at least one of said histograms is reduced using a global histogram.

64. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 60, comprising repeatedly updating said histograms during said segmenting.

65. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, comprising cleaning the segmentation.

66. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein determining a centerline comprises generating a distance map of said tubular tissue, of distances from an outer boundary of said tubular tissue, inwards.

67. (Original) A method according to claim 66, wherein generating a distance map comprises using morphological skeletonization on said segmentation.

68. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 66, wherein generating a distance map comprises using fast marching on said segmentation.

69. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 66, wherein determining a centerline comprises finding a path in said distance map.

70. (Original) A method according to claim 69, wherein finding a path for said centerline comprises targeted marching from at least one end of said segmentation.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

71. (Original) A method according to claim 70, wherein said targeted marching for finding a path comprises taking a local curvature into account.

72. (Previously presented) A method according to claim 1, wherein said data set is three dimensional.

73. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of segmenting an organ in a digital medical image data set, comprising:

dividing said digital medical image data set into portions; and
using a different probability histogram in each of at least two of said portions for determining if a point belongs in the segmentation.

74. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 73, comprising smoothing at least two histograms, for two neighboring portions.

75. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 74, wherein said smoothing comprises registering a plurality of points in both of said neighboring histograms.

76. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 73, comprising correcting said different histograms using a global histogram that encompasses at least two of said different histograms.

77. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of segmenting an organ in a digital medical image data set, comprising:

defining a plurality of partially overlapping portions in said digital medical image data set, which portions cover at least one object of interest;
separately segmenting each of said portions; and
combining said segmentations to yield a single segmentation of said at least one object of interest.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: 35504
Confirmation No.: 7945

78. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 77, wherein said portions are selected to divide a tubular organ into substantially straight sections.

79. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of segmenting an organ in a digital medical image data set, comprising:

propagating a segmentation in said digital medical image data set; and
applying a curvature limitation to said propagation.

80. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 79, wherein applying a curvature limitation comprises counting visited neighbors.

81. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of propagating parameterization in a digital medical image data set, comprising:

providing an initial parameterization in said digital medical image data set along at least one linepath;

propagating a parameterization from said linepath, wherein said propagation is limited to being substantially parallel to said at least one linepath.

82. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 81, comprising propagating said parameterization to have a gradient which is substantially perpendicular to a gradient of a path cost associated with said propagation.

83. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method according to claim 81, comprising limiting an angle between (a) a spatial vector defined between a starting point of the parameterization along said line-path and ending at a current point of propagation of parameterization and (b) said path, to being close to perpendicular.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: 35504
Confirmation No.: 7945

84. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 83, wherein said limiting comprises reducing leakage of a segmentation by said limiting.

85. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 81, wherein said medical image data set is a three-dimensional data set.

86. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of path finding in a digital distance map, comprising:

providing a digital distance map of an organ having a centerline;

determining a desired tradeoff between curvature of a path and (a) local curvature of a path and (b) a path remaining near said centerline ;and

finding a path in said digital distance map while applying limitations of (a) local curvature of the path and (b) the path remaining near said centerline,

wherein said finding a path comprises applying said trade-off in a manner which is uniform at points along a path in organs having cross-sectional areas different from each other by more than 50%.

87. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 86, wherein said limitations are applied as part of a targeted marching method in which a path is found by propagation of wave front using a cost function which depends on both a local cost and an estimated cost to target.

88. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 87, wherein said trade-off is applied to at least two points in a same organ.

89. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 87, wherein said trade-off is applied to two different organs in a same data set.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

90. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 86, wherein applying said tradeoff comprises using a formula for trading off which includes an exponent and normalization of organ diameter.

91. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 86, wherein said tradeoff is uniform on different parts of a cross-section of said organ over a range of at least 50% of said cross-section, such that same movement has a similar effect on curvature.

92. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of centerline determination for a body tubular tissue in a digital medical image data set, comprising:
 providing a digital medical image data set representing a tubular tissue having n points in a three-dimensional medical dataset; and
 finding a path in said digital medical image data set in $O(n \log n)$ time of scalar calculation steps.

93. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 92, wherein said path is found using no more than $O(n)$ memory units.

94. (Withdrawn and Currently Amended) A method of centerline determination for a body tubular tissue in a digital medical image data set, comprising:
 providing a digital medical image data set including a tubular tissue having n points in a three-dimensional medical dataset; and
 finding a path in said digital medical image data set using no more than $O(n)$ memory units.

95. (Withdrawn) A method according to claim 15, wherein said probability is determined using a histogram of data point values.

In re Application of: Ido MILSTEIN et al
Serial No.: 10/597,226
Filed: January 22, 2008
Office Action Mailing Date: October 7, 2011

Examiner: Nicole IPPOLITO RAUSCH
Group Art Unit: 2881
Attorney Docket: **35504**
Confirmation No.: 7945

96. (New) A method of centerline determination for a tubular tissue in a digital medical image data set defined in a digital data space, comprising:

receiving at least one start point and one end point inside a tubular tissue volume;

automatically determining a path between said points that remains inside said volume, comprising determining using targeted marching which uses a cost function incorporating both path cost and estimated future path cost, wherein a path cost of a point is a function of a probability of the point being inside or outside of the tubular tissue;

automatically segmenting said tubular tissue using said path; and

automatically determining a centerline for said tubular tissue from said segmentation,

wherein said receiving, said determining a path, said segmenting, and said determining a centerline are all performed on a same digital data space of said digital medical image data set.